



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

MISCELLANY.

HOW TO AVOID DELAY IN OBTAINING A CHARTER.—Much unnecessary correspondence and delay will be avoided if the members of the bar, in forwarding certificates of incorporation of ordinary business corporations (under sec. 1105a, Va. Code Anno.) to the Corporation Commission, would bear in mind that three checks should be enclosed with the certificate, as follows:

1. A check payable to the order of the treasurer of Virginia covering the charter fee, which is \$10, unless the maximum capital exceed \$50,000 (sec. 36, p. 2215, Va. Code Anno.). This check must in all cases be certified.

2. A check payable to the secretary of the Commonwealth, which should include \$1.50 for his certificate of recordation plus 50 cents for each page of the certificate of incorporation, including not only the charter proper, but the acknowledgment and certificate of the judge and the order of the commission (covering one page) which is added by the commission itself. Thus if your certificate of incorporation, etc., is written on three pages, remember that another page will be added by the commission, and your check should be for \$2.00 plus the \$1.50 above mentioned, making \$4.50.

3. A check payable to the State Corporation Commission of Virginia for \$1.00 to cover the tax for affixing its seal.

If these directions are complied with the Corporation Commission will promptly transmit the charter to the secretary of the Commonwealth, who will in turn transmit the same to the clerk of the proper court, and there will remain nothing to be done by the practitioner except to pay the clerk his fees for recording the same.

To further facilitate the obtaining of charters every lawyer should, of course, follow the directions given by the commission in its circular No. 2, which can be had free upon request.

SUNDAY LAWS.—The following personal observations of Mr. A. McLeod, as published in the *Canadian Law Review*, seem to bear out the contention of Mr. Campbell in his article in this issue: "I live within a few miles of the boundary line between Manitoba and North Dakota. In the former there is a general cessation from the ordinary work on Sunday, in North Dakota, immediately across the imaginary line which separates the two communities, Sunday is not observed as a rest day. There is much less crime in Manitoba than North Dakota, and greater respect for law; the Dakotan laborer works seven days in the week if his employer wishes him to do so. The laborer is thus deprived of any opportunity for social religious exercises; he has no time for even the common form of social intercourse, customary on Sunday, when it is a rest day; he is deprived of the

physical relaxation of one day in seven; the direct result is that the laborer, that is the hired laborer, is much more inclined to enter on a period of debauchery when the opportunity for relaxation presents itself. North Dakota is a prohibition state, but there is much more drunkenness, especially among the laboring classes, than in Manitoba. The border people of North Dakota freely admit that non-observance of Sunday is prejudicial morally to the people, but they contend that it is financially beneficial and support it on that ground. The Manitoba farmer is, however, more prosperous than his neighbor across the boundary line.

"I have also been able to make a similar comparison between Yukon and Alaska—Dawson is as quiet as Toronto on Sunday, there is no drunkenness and few breaches of the peace, the people actually rest on Sunday, but in Alaska, where Sunday work is general, there is much drunkenness and debauchery. Skagway and Dawson are striking contrasts on Sunday. I do not think that any resident of either Dawson or Skagway would contend that the Sunday law of Dawson tends to immorality, or the wide-open Sunday of Skagway to morality, the contrary is too evident, even to the casual observer.

"Again let me compare Winnipeg, the capital of Manitoba, with Sacramento, the capital of California. That state repealed her Sunday law, with the result that there is more crime in Sacramento on Sunday alone than there is in Winnipeg during the whole week. Sacramento is a disgraceful city on Sunday, part of the people are at work, the remainder spend the day in a go-as-you-please way, that makes Monday's police court abnormally large. The same contrast might be made between Toronto and San Francisco. I have taken these three illustrations, from the farming, mining, and commercial communities, and I offer them simply as the result of my personal observation of these communities.

"On the other side, I allege that there is no city in which there is no Sunday observance that is more moral than any like city whose people observe Sunday, that is to say, the worst city where Sunday is observed as a day of rest is a better city morally than any similar city where Sunday is not observed. I would be pleased to have a comparison made to refute this.

"The community is only the individual in the gross, and the result in the community is obtained through the individual. The man who rests from toil on Sunday is better fitted for work on Monday than the man who does not so rest, and he gets breadth of view and moral fibre by looking at the world from a different viewpoint once a week. As a matter of fact, a large part of the people in Sunday-keeping communities spend part of Sunday in the exercises of religion, and the influence of religion on the individual tends to morality. It is no part of the duty of the state to enter the domain of conscience and maintain a religious establishment, but if as a matter of fact a Sunday law tends to morality, through the instrumentality of religion or otherwise, then it is the duty of the state to maintain a Sunday law."

ADVICE TO A YOUNG LAWYER.

BY HON. JOSEPH STORY.

Whene'er you speak, remember every cause
Stands not on eloquence, but stands on laws—
Pregnant in matter, in expression brief;
Let every sentence stand with bold relief;
On trifling points nor time nor talents waste,
A sad offence to learning and to taste;
Nor deal with pompous phrase; nor e'er suppose
Poetic flights belong to reasoning prose.
Loose declamation may deceive the crowd,
And seem more striking as it grows more loud;
But sober sense rejects it with disdain,
As nought but empty noise, and weak as vain.
The froth of words, the schoolboy's vain parade
Of books and cases, all his stock in trade—
The pert conceits, the cunning tricks and play
Of low Attorneys, strung in long array,
The unseemly jest, the petulant reply,
That chatters on, and cares not how, or why,
Studious, avoid; unworthy themes to scan,
They sink the Speaker, and disgrace the Man.
Like the false lights, by flying shadows cast,
Scarce seen when present, and forgot when past.
Begin with dignity; expound with grace
Each ground of reasoning in its proper place;
Let order reign throughout—each topic touch,
Nor urge its power too little or too much.
Give each strong thought its most attractive view,
In diction clear, and yet severely true,
And, as the arguments in splendor grow,
Let each reflect its light on all below.
When to the close arrived, make no delays
By petty flourishes or verbal plays,
But sum the whole in one deep, solemn strain,
Like a strong current hastening to the main.

Cambridge, 1832.

Leg. Bib.

VIRGINIA CASES ANNOTATED IN THE AMERICAN STATE REPORTS [VOLS. 1-98].
—We give below a list of all the Virginia cases that have been annotated
in the American State Reports. These citations are believed to be of value,
since to each case the note appended is from that "prince of law writers,"
Mr. A. C. Freeman.

It is suggested that the usefulness of this list will be greatly increased if the
practitioner will have these references noted in his Virginia reports on the

margin of the page where the cases here given are reported. That this may be easily done the cases are listed by volumes.

In the next issue we will give a similar list of the Virginia cases reported in the Lawyers' Reports Annotated.

82 VA.

- Muse v. Stern, 82 Va. 33, 3 Am. St. Rep. 77.
Bailey v. Commonwealth, 82 Va. 107, 3 Am. St. Rep. 87.
Jones v. O. D. Cotton Mills, 82 Va. 140, 3 Am. St. Rep. 92.
Dohr v. Rohr, 82 Va. 359, 3 Am. St. Rep. 106.
Merritt v. Swimley, 82 Va. 433, 3 Am. St. Rep. 115.
Cornwall v. Commonwealth, 82 Va. 644, 3 Am. St. Rep. 121.
Darne v. Lloyd, 82 Va. 859, 3 Am. St. Rep. 123.
Wimer v. Wimer, 82 Va. 890, 3 Am. St. Rep. 126.
Bocock v. Alleghany C. & I. Co., 82 Va. 913, 3 Am. St. Rep. 128.

83 VA.

- Davis v. Chapman, 83 Va. 67, 5 Am. St. Rep. 251.
Nichols v. Washington etc. Ry. Co., 83 Va. 99, 5 Am. St. Rep. 257.
Seamster v. Blackstock, 83 Va. 232, 5 Am. St. Rep. 262.
Darracott v. C. & O. Ry. Co., 83 Va. 288, 5 Am. St. Rep. 266.
Redd v. Dyer, 83 Va. 331, 5 Am. St. Rep. 272.
Anthony v. Kasey, 83 Va. 338, 5 Am. St. Rep. 277.
Clark v. City of Richmond, 83 Va. 355, 5 Am. St. Rep. 281.
Rorer Iron Co. v. Trout, 83 Va. 397, 5 Am. St. Rep. 285.
Vaught v. Rider, 83 Va. 669, 5 Am. St. Rep. 305.
Naglee v. A. & F. Ry. Co., 83 Va. 707, 5 Am. St. Rep. 308.
Reynolds v. Cook, 83 Va. 817, 5 Am. St. Rep. 317.

84 VA.

- Mutual Society v. S. U. & N. Ins. Co., 84 Va. 116, 10 Am. St. Rep. 819.
R. & D. Ry. Co. v. Norment, 84 Va. 167, 10 Am. St. Rep. 827.
Trice v. Kayton, 84 Va. 217, 10 Am. St. Rep. 836.
Crawn v. Commonwealth, 84 Va. 282, 10 Am. St. Rep. 839.
Yates v. Warrenton, 84 Va. 337, 10 Am. St. Rep. 860.
Perkins v. Jones, 84 Va. 358, 10 Am. St. Rep. 863.
Va. Midland Ry. Co. v. White, 84 Va. 498, 10 Am. St. Rep. 874.
Beecher v. Wilson, Burns & Co., 84 Va. 813, 10 Am. St. Rep. 883.
Muller v. Stone, 84 Va. 834, 10 Am. St. Rep. 889.
Murrell v. Diggs, 84 Va. 900, 10 Am. St. Rep. 893.
Crumph v. Commonwealth, 84 Va. 927, 10 Am. St. Rep. 895.

85 VA.

- Wolverton v. Davis, 85 Va. 64, 17 Am. St. Rep. 56.
S. W. Imp. Co. v. Smith, 85 Va. 306, 17 Am. St. Rep. 59.
Coffman v. Coffman, 85 Va. 459, 17 Am. St. Rep. 69.
Phillips v. Ferguson, 85 Va. 509, 17 Am. St. Rep. 78.
Marshall v. Bank of Alexandria, 85 Va. 676, 17 Am. St. Rep. 84.

Phenix Ins. Co. v. Bank, 85 Va. 765, 17 Am. St. Rep. 101.
Bernard v. R. F. & P. Ry. Co., 85 Va. 792, 17 Am. St. Rep. 103.
Va. F. & M. Ins. Co. v. Cottrell, 85 Va. 857, 17 Am. St. Rep. 108.
Niagara Ins. Co. v. Elliott, 85 Va. 962, 17 Am. St. Rep. 115.

86 VA.

Fidelity Ins. Co. v. S. V. Ry. Co., 86 Va. 1, 19 Am. St. Rep. 858.
Bowden v. Parrish, 86 Va. 67, 19 Am. St. Rep. 873.
R. & D. Ry. Co. v. Williams, 86 Va. 165, 19 Am. St. Rep. 876.
Fry v. Albemarle, 86 Va. 195, 19 Am. St. Rep. 879.
Ward v. White, 86 Va. 212, 19 Am. St. Rep. 883.
Hicks v. Commonwealth, 86 Va. 223, 19 Am. St. Rep. 891.
S. V. Ry. Co. v. Ashby, 86 Va. 232, 19 Am. St. Rep. 898.
Patton v. Leftwich, 86 Va. 421, 19 Am. St. Rep. 902.
W. U. Tel. Co. v. Williams, 86 Va. 696, 19 Am. St. Rep. 908.
Miller v. Potterfield, 86 Va. 876, 19 Am. St. Rep. 919.
Fugate v. Moore, 86 Va. 1045, 19 Am. St. Rep. 926.

87 VA.

Conrad v. Effinger, 87 Va. 59, 24 Am. St. Rep. 646.
Jones v. Temple, 87 Va. 210, 24 Am. St. Rep. 649.
Hall v. Palmer, 87 Va. 354, 24 Am. St. Rep. 653.
Bruce v. Roper Lumber Co., 87 Va. 381, 24 Am. St. Rep. 657.
De Farges v. Ryland, 87 Va. 404, 24 Am. St. Rep. 659.
Bowen v. Bowen, 87 Va. 438, 24 Am. St. Rep. 664.
Lee v. Hill, 87 Va. 497, 24 Am. St. Rep. 666.
Corbin v. Bank, 87 Va. 661, 24 Am. St. Rep. 673.
Goddin v. Bland, 87 Va. 706, 24 Am. St. Rep. 678.
Garland v. Garland, 87 Va. 758, 24 Am. St. Rep. 682.

88 VA.

N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Commonwealth, 88 Va. 95, 29 Am. St. Rep. 705.
Hubble v. Cole, 88 Va. 236, 29 Am. St. Rep. 716.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Groseclose, 88 Va. 267, 29 Am. St. Rep. 718.
Trigg v. Clay, 88 Va. 330, 29 Am. St. Rep. 723.
Chalkley v. City of Richmond, 88 Va. 402, 29 Am. St. Rep. 730.
Hill v. Commonwealth, 88 Va. 633, 29 Am. St. Rep. 744.
Whiting v. West Point, 88 Va. 905, 29 Am. St. Rep. 750.
Stearns v. City of Richmond, 88 Va. 992, 29 Am. St. Rep. 758.
Waddill v. Sebree, 88 Va. 1012, 29 Am. St. Rep. 766.
Conn. F. & M. Ins. Co. v. Tilley, 88 Va. 1024, 29 Am. St. Rep. 770.

89 VA.

Thomas v. Lewis, 89 Va. 1, 37 Am. St. Rep. 848.
Bosher v. R. & H. Land Co., 89 Va. 455, 37 Am. St. Rep. 879.
Gibson v. Green, 89 Va. 524, 37 Am. St. Rep. 888.
Ficklin v. Rixley, 89 Va. 832, 37 Am. St. Rep. 891.
Graybill v. Brugh, 89 Va. 895, 37 Am. St. Rep. 894.
Cottrell v. Watkins, 89 Va. 801, 37 Am. St. Rep. 897.

90 VA.

N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Anderson, 90 Va. 1, 44 Am. St. Rep. 884.
Waring v. Betts, 90 Va. 46, 44 Am. St. Rep. 890.
Va. Del. Co. v. Crozer I. Co., 90 Va. 126, 44 Am. St. Rep. 893.
Mumpower v. Bristol, 90 Va. 151, 44 Am. St. Rep. 902.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Thomas, 90 Va. 205, 44 Am. St. Rep. 906.
W. U. Tel. Co. v. Tyler, 90 Va. 297, 44 Am. St. Rep. 910.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Adams, 90 Va. 393, 44 Am. St. Rep. 916.
Michael v. Roanoke Mac. Wks., 90 Va. 492, 44 Am. St. Rep. 927.
Craig v. Williams, 90 Va. 500, 44 Am. St. Rep. 934.
Va. Land Co. v. Haupt, 90 Va. 533, 44 Am. St. Rep. 939.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Ward, 90 Va. 687, 44 Am. St. Rep. 945.
Commonwealth v. B. & L. Co., 90 Va. 790, 44 Am. St. Rep. 950.
Farinholt v. Luckhard, 90 Va. 936, 44 Am. St. Rep. 953.

91 VA.

Carnell v. Lynch, 91 Va. 114, 50 Am. St. Rep. 819.
Wilson v. Carpenter, 91 Va. 183, 50 Am. St. Rep. 824.
Ga. Home Ins. Co. v. Bartlett, 91 Va. 305, 50 Am. St. Rep. 832.
Marshall v. Palmer, 91 Va. 344, 50 Am. St. Rep. 838.
Chapman v. Chapman, 91 Va. 397, 50 Am. St. Rep. 846.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Harman, 91 Va. 601, 50 Am. St. Rep. 855.
Lynchburg Bank v. Scott, 91 Va. 652, 50 Am. St. Rep. 860.
Pitznogle v. Commonwealth, 91 Va. 808, 50 Am. St. Rep. 867.

92 VA.

Pillow v. Imp. Co., 92 Va. 144, 53 Am. St. Rep. 804.
Jammison v. C. & O. Ry. Co., 92 Va. 327, 53 Am. St. Rep. 813.
Goode v. Ga. Home Ins. Co., 92 Va. 392, 53 Am. St. Rep. 817.
Chapman v. Chapman, 92 Va. 537, 53 Am. St. Rep. 823.
Violett v. Alexander, 92 Va. 561, 53 Am. St. Rep. 825.
Richmond R. & E. Co. v. Gathright, 92 Va. 627, 53 Am. St. Rep. 839.
Morotock Ins. Co. v. Rodefer, 92 Va. 747, 53 Am. St. Rep. 846.
Strouther v. Commonwealth, 92 Va. 789, 53 Am. St. Rep. 852.

93 VA.

Morotock Ins. Co. v. Cheek, 93 Va. 8, 57 Am. St. Rep. 782.
Connell v. C. & O. Ry. Co., 93 Va. 44, 57 Am. St. Rep. 786.
Lacey v. Palmer, 93 Va. 159, 57 Am. St. Rep. 795.
Bethel v. Salem Imp. Co., 93 Va. 354, 57 Am. St. Rep. 808.
Hockman v. Hockman, 93 Va. 855, 57 Am. St. Rep. 816.
Fickler v. Berry, 93 Va. 565, 57 Am. St. Rep. 819.
Winchester v. Redmond, 93 Va. 711, 57 Am. St. Rep. 822.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Commonwealth, 93 Va. 749, 57 Am. St. Rep. 827.

94 VA.

Reid v. Norfolk Ry. Co., 94 Va. 117, 64 Am. St. Rep. 708.
U. C. Life Ins. Co. v. Pollard, 94 Va. 146, 64 Am. St. Rep. 715.

Robertson v. Smith, 94 Va. 250, 64 Am. St. Rep. 723.
Jameson v. Rixey, 94 Va. 342, 64 Am. St. Rep. 726.
Ballou v. Ballou, 94 Va. 350, 64 Am. St. Rep. 733.
Bolton v. Vellines, 94 Va. 393, 64 Am. St. Rep. 737.
Fifield v. Van Wyck, 94 Va. 557, 64 Am. St. Rep. 745.
Sims v. Sims, 94 Va. 580, 64 Am. St. Rep. 772.
Preston v. Kindricks, 94 Va. 760, 64 Am. St. Rep. 777.

95 VA.

Blakemore v. Wise, 95 Va. 269, 64 Am. St. Rep. 781.
More Lime Co. v. Richardson, 95 Va. 326, 64 Am. St. Rep. 785.
N. & W. Ry. Co. v. Houchins, 95 Va. 398, 64 Am. St. Rep. 791.
Coons v. Coons, 95 Va. 434, 64 Am. St. Rep. 804.
Petticolas v. Richmond, 95 Va. 456, 64 Am. St. Rep. 811.
Greer v. Hale, 95 Va. 533, 64 Am. St. Rep. 814.
Dulaney v. Willis, 95 Va. 606, 64 Am. St. Rep. 815.
Crabtree v. O. D. B. & L. Ass., 95 Va. 670, 64 Am. St. Rep. 818.
Ware v. B. L. & I. Co., 95 Va. 680, 64 Am. St. Rep. 826.
Harrison v. Wallton, 95 Va. 721, 64 Am. St. Rep. 830.

96 VA.

Burdis v. Burdis, 96 Va. 81, 70 Am. St. Rep. 825.
Wilson v. Hundley, 96 Va. 96, 70 Am. St. Rep. 837.
Foster v. Commonwealth, 96 Va. 306, 70 Am. St. Rep. 846.
Lynchburg P. B. & L. Co. v. Fellers, 96 Va. 337, 70 Am. St. Rep. 851.
B. & L. Ass. v. Reed, 96 Va. 345, 70 Am. St. Rep. 858.
Snyder v. Grandstaff, 96 Va. 473, 70 Am. St. Rep. 863.
Camp v. Bruce, 96 Va. 521, 70 Am. St. Rep. 873.
Spiller v. Wells, 96 Va. 598, 70 Am. St. Rep. 878.
Moore v. Triplett, 96 Va. 603, 70 Am. St. Rep. 882.
Wright v. Bank, 96 Va. 728, 70 Am. St. Rep. 889.

97 VA.

Cussen v. Brandt, 97 Va. 1, 75 Am. St. Rep. 762.
Tate v. Building Ass., 97 Va. 74, 75 Am. St. Rep. 770.
Portsmouth G. Co. v. Sanford, 97 Va. 124, 75 Am. St. Rep. 778.
Bristol B. & L. Co. v. Bristol, 97 Va. 304, 75 Am. St. Rep. 783.
Price v. Wall, 97 Va. 334, 75 Am. St. Rep. 788.
Roanoke v. Shull, 97 Va. 419, 75 Am. St. Rep. 791.
Hurst v. Leckie, 97 Va. 550, 75 Am. St. Rep. 798.
Rowe v. Hardy, 97 Va. 674, 75 Am. St. Rep. 811.

98 VA.

Atkins v. Richmond, 98 Va. 91, 81 Am. St. Rep. 705.
Merchant's Bank v. Ballou, 98 Va. 112, 81 Am. St. Rep. 715.
Ry. Co. v. Cromwell, 98 Va. 227, 81 Am. St. Rep. 722.
Trevett v. Prison Ass., 98 Va. 332, 81 Am. St. Rep. 727.

Kelly v. Lehigh M. & M. Co., 98 Va. 405, 81 Am. St. Rep. 736.

Burdine v. Burdine, 98 Va. 515, 81 Am. St. Rep. 741.

Scott v. Moore, 98 Va. 668, 81 Am. St. Rep. 749.

99 VA.

Postal Tel. Co. v. Richmond, 99 Va. 102, 86 Am. St. Rep. 877.

Sands v. Durham, 99 Va. 263, 86 Am. St. Rep. 884.

Bank v. Machine Co., 99 Va. 411, 86 Am. St. Rep. 891.

Bank v. Holland, 99 Va. 495, 86 Am. St. Rep. 898.

Vaught v. Meador, 99 Va. 569, 86 Am. St. Rep. 908.

Banker's L. & I. Co. v. Blair, 99 Va. 603, 86 Am. St. Rep. 914.

Miller v. Miller, 99 Va. 662, 86 Am. St. Rep. 919.

Miller v. Imp. Co., 99 Va. 747, 86 Am. St. Rep. 924.

100 VA.

Connelly v. W. U. Tel. Co., 100 Va. 51, 93 Am. St. Rep. 919.

Bank v. Hancock, 100 Va. 101, 93 Am. St. Rep. 933.

Hutchinson v. Maxwell, 100 Va. 169, 93 Am. St. Rep. 944.

Boisseau v. Bass, 100 Va. 207, 93 Am. St. Rep. 956.

Tyack v. Berkeley, 100 Va. 296, 93 Am. St. Rep. 963.

W. U. Tel. Co. v. Reynolds, 100 Va. 459, 93 Am. St. Rep. 971.

Fitzpatrick v. Fitzpatrick, 100 Va. 552, 93 Am. St. Rep. 976.